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During the past several years, the Medicare program has published information designed to help people on Medicare make well-informed decisions about their health care and coverage options. Two widely used sources of Medicare information—the Medicare website (www.medicare.gov) and the Medicare Handbook—use graphic, narrative, and tabular formats to present information to consumers. The information compares the clinical effectiveness and customer service of Medicare Advantage plans and the costs and benefits of Medicare health plans and also describes covered services. In addition, patients with chronic conditions who partner with their clinicians and engage in self-management of their conditions tend to experience better outcomes. Understanding the importance of their Medicare choices and actively participating in one’s care require an individual to have good literacy and health literacy skills.

Because the Medicare population is diverse and the range of health literacy skills and patient activation (i.e., having the knowledge and skill to self-manage) is wide, targeted interventions might help people on Medicare make better use of their health care services. The purpose of this research is to understand the relationship of health literacy and patient activation to Medicare decision making and beneficiaries’ adherence to healthy behaviors, such as pursuing regular exercise and a healthy diet, being aware of one’s cholesterol and blood pressure levels, and actively participating in physician visits.

AARP engaged the authors to determine the relationships among certain variables so that Medicare counselors, information intermediaries, clinicians, and others can improve their education strategies by providing appropriate, skill-related interventions to Medicare patients on the basis of their health literacy and level of patient activation. We believe this is the first study to assess these relationships.

The study finds that health literacy and patient activation are both important and, in general, influence different outcomes. The Medicare program itself and organizations such as AARP design and develop information to help Medicare beneficiaries make informed choices about how to use their benefits. Organizations must strive to offer materials that teach people on Medicare how to become active participants in their health care. In addition, because it is not realistic to expect that improvement in health literacy can be achieved in the short term, for those with inadequate or marginal skills, the challenge is to design formats that take their needs into account. The findings from this study are timely, as the Medicare Prescription Drug, Modernization, and Improvement Act will require a massive educational effort to help orient people on Medicare to the program’s new features, including the prescription drug benefit and the private health plan models that will soon be available.

As Medicare beneficiaries are asked to take more responsibility for the choices they make, it is essential that they have valid, reliable, and easy to understand information to help them do so.

Joyce Dubow
Associate Director
AARP Public Policy Institute
June 2005
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Executive Summary

Background

Health care policy increasingly relies on informed and engaged consumers to control health care costs and improve quality. The core initiatives of the landmark Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (MMA) are emblematic of this approach. Notably, the law’s prescription drug benefit will require beneficiaries to decide among private prescription drug plans that vary in terms of comprehensiveness, cost, and formularies. Beneficiaries will also have to weigh the costs and benefits of enrolling in the program during the initial start-up period or facing financial penalties if they enroll later. In addition, the MMA includes provisions that will require Medicare beneficiaries to be well-informed about their coverage and treatment options.

Older persons are increasingly expected to make complex health care decisions and take more responsibility for their health care, but it is questionable whether all are capable of the tasks associated with this greater responsibility. National studies have found that older adults have lower literacy and patient activation levels than younger adults. (The term “health literacy” includes skills that encompass the ability to process and understand basic information needed to make appropriate health decisions. The term “patient activation” refers to having the knowledge, skills, beliefs, and confidence to manage one’s health.) There is increasing evidence that literacy—in particular, health literacy—and patient activation are related to how well patients understand and manage their health and health care.

Purpose

This study examines the independent influence of health literacy and patient activation in areas in which older adults must have skill or knowledge to manage their health and health care. These areas include Medicare decision making, health-care-related behaviors, healthy behaviors, and chronic disease self-management behaviors. The examination of health literacy and patient activation and their relative contribution to health-related behaviors and health care choices will inform the types of strategies that are likely to be most effective in supporting consumer engagement.

Methodology

The data for this study were collected in spring 2004 from a convenience sample of 293 Medicare beneficiaries age 65 years or older in Eugene/Springfield, Oregon. Subjects first completed the short form of the Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults (S-TOFHLA) to assess their health literacy. A trained interviewer then administered a questionnaire that included the new Patient Activation Measure (PAM) and questions related to Medicare decision making, health-care-related behaviors, healthy behaviors, and chronic illness self-management. The relationships between the independent variables (health literacy and patient activation) and the dependent measures were examined in bivariate and multivariate models.
Principal Findings

Compared with a national sample of non-institutionalized Medicare beneficiaries age 65 years and older, study participants are older, although they are in better health and have more education. Their health literacy and patient activation levels indicate a relatively high-functioning population.

The analysis indicates that both health literacy and patient activation are significantly and positively related to Medicare decision making and engagement in health-care-related behaviors, healthy behaviors, and chronic disease self-management behaviors. Health literacy, however, appears to have a stronger relationship to Medicare decision making than does patient activation. On the other hand, patient activation has slightly stronger relationships to health-care-related behaviors, healthy behaviors, and self-management behaviors.

In analyses controlling for the influence of age, education, and patient activation, health literacy retains its relationship with some, but not all, outcomes. The relationships between health literacy and the two Medicare decision making outcomes (i.e., confidence in decision making and the ability to use comparative information to make decisions) remain strong. Those with “barely adequate” health literacy have significantly lower levels of decision-making confidence and ability to use comparative information to make health plan choices than those with “adequate” health literacy. Those with “marginal/inadequate” health literacy have even lower levels ability. Health literacy predicts health-care-related behaviors; however, it is associated with only two of the nine healthy and self-management behaviors in multivariate models. (See Table 1.)

Controlling for health literacy, age, and education does not substantively affect the pattern of association between patient activation and the dependent variables. Patient activation is most strongly and positively associated with health-care-related behaviors, taking chronic illness medications as recommended, and knowing what blood pressure level the doctor recommends. Patient activation also predicts all the other healthy and self-management behaviors.

Conclusion

This study is the first, to our knowledge, to examine the relative contributions of health literacy and patient activation on the skills and behaviors that older adults need to effectively manage their health. We find that both patient activation and health literacy are important for older adults; however, the two factors affect different health-related outcomes. Health literacy positively influences Medicare decision making, both one’s confidence to make decisions and one’s ability to use comparative information to effectively do so. Patient activation, on the other hand, has a greater influence on one’s engagement in health-care-related, healthy, and chronic illness self-management behaviors. Interventions that focus on improving patient activation will encourage better health-care-related, healthy, and self-management behaviors; those aimed at addressing literacy or the readability of materials will help older adults make sound Medicare decisions. Much work remains to be done to develop and test effective interventions for improving health literacy and patient activation. This study underscores the importance of such future efforts.
How Much Do Health Literacy and Patient Activation Contribute to Older Adults’ Ability to Manage Their Health?

Background

To control health care costs and improve quality, health care policy increasingly relies on consumers to be informed and engaged. The core initiatives of the landmark Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (MMA) are emblematic of this approach. Under the MMA’s temporary discount drug card program, Medicare beneficiaries must compare card fees, drug costs, and pharmacies to determine whether they want to participate in the program and, if so, which card to select. The MMA prescription drug benefit, which begins in January 2006, will require beneficiaries to decide among private prescription drug plans that vary in terms of comprehensiveness, cost, and formularies, and to weigh the costs and benefits of enrolling in the program during the start-up period or paying financial penalties for enrolling later.

High-quality care for chronic illness relies on informed, activated consumers. The importance of patients’ role in self-managing chronic illness—including making daily decisions about medication, exercise, and diet—is increasingly becoming recognized.\(^1\), \(^2\) The MMA includes provisions to improve chronic illness care through the use of disease management programs.\(^3\) These programs, run by health plans and disease management organizations, are specifically intended to educate beneficiaries with severe chronic conditions on how to self-manage their conditions.

While older persons are increasingly expected to make complex health care decisions and play a larger role in directing their own health care, it is questionable whether all are capable of the tasks associated with this greater responsibility. A national survey in 1992 found that low literacy is widespread in the United States, particularly among older respondents.\(^4\) Over half of respondents age 65 years and older were unable to correctly locate an intersection on a street map or enter personal information on an application. Health literacy skills, which encompass the ability to process and understand basic information needed to make appropriate health decisions,\(^5\) are strongly associated with health-related outcomes. Those with poor health literacy skills are less knowledgeable about health,\(^6\)–\(^8\) receive less preventive care,\(^9\) have worse chronic illness control,\(^10\) and have higher emergency department and hospital utilization.\(^11\), \(^12\)

Another factor that may hinder consumers’ ability to take more responsibility for health care is their level of activation, which means the knowledge, skills, beliefs, and confidence to manage one’s health.\(^13\) Patient activation levels, which predict health outcomes such as preventive behaviors, are lower among older adults. The related concept of self-efficacy (which refers to having the confidence to undertake a specific health-related task) is positively correlated with effective disease self-management and negatively related to hospital utilization rates.\(^2\), \(^14\)

To date, research on the health-related effects of patient activation and health literacy have been conducted separately, although it has been suggested that a connection exists.\(^15\) It is possible that health literacy levels influence health outcomes indirectly, through patient activation, in which
case policies aimed at improving literacy would also boost patient activation. However, another possibility is that health literacy and patient activation separately influence health outcomes; in this case, interventions to raise both activation and literacy levels would be required to influence health outcomes.

**Purpose**

This study examines the relationship between health literacy and patient activation and investigates the influence of each on the various areas in which older adults must have skill or knowledge to manage their health or health care. These areas include Medicare decision making, health-care-related behaviors, healthy behaviors, and chronic disease self-management behaviors; they are described more fully in the next section. The examination of health literacy and patient activation and their effect on health care choices and health and health-care-related behaviors will inform the types of strategies that are likely to be most effective in supporting consumer engagement.

**Methodology**

The data for this study were collected from a convenience sample of 293 Medicare beneficiaries age 65 years or older in Eugene/Springfield, Oregon. Recruitment and data collection took place during the spring of 2004 at community senior centers; thus, homebound and institutionalized beneficiaries were excluded from the sample. Participation required reading, so it is likely that those with the lowest literacy skills may have chosen not to participate in the study. Participation took approximately 45 minutes. Subjects first completed a health literacy assessment and then a questionnaire that included sociodemographic measures and other measures described below. (The questionnaire was orally administered by trained research staff.) Research subjects were paid for their participation.

**Measures**

The primary independent variables in this study are health literacy and patient activation. We assessed health literacy using the 14-point font version of the short form of the Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults (S-TOFHLA), which has been described in detail elsewhere. In brief, the S-TOFHLA tests reading comprehension using health-related passages and the ability to follow instructions on prescription bottles and appointment slips. It is highly correlated with other reading tests, such as the Rapid Estimate of Adult Literacy in Medicine.

Respondents’ activation levels were assessed using the Patient Activation Measure (PAM) (Appendix A). This new 22-item patient-reported measure is a unidimensional, probabilistic Guttman-like scale that reflects a developmental model of activation across four stages: (1) believing the patient role is important; (2) having the necessary confidence and knowledge to take action; (2) taking action to maintain and improve one’s health; and (4) staying the course even under stress. A slightly different version of the PAM was administered to respondents who reported having a chronic condition.
The study examines the impact of health literacy and activation on the following self-reported sets of dependent variables: (1) Medicare decision making; (2) health-care-related behaviors; (3) healthy behaviors; and (4) chronic illness self-management. We used two measures of Medicare decision making. The first is a five-item index that assesses respondents’ level of confidence in making Medicare-related decisions; the index has strong reliability (Chronbach’s alpha = 0.82). The items, which use a four-point Likert-type response scale, are detailed in table 1. The second measure tests respondents’ ability to use comparative information to make Medicare decisions. Respondents are asked to compare five health plans on the basis of information about two quality attributes (how well doctors communicate and overall member satisfaction with the plan) and three cost attributes (monthly premiums and prescription and office visit co-pays) (see Appendix B).17 This task is similar to the type of comparisons Medicare recipients make in deciding among managed care plans and the comparisons they will make in the future among prescription drug plans. The plans in this assessment have a clear rank order, with the top plan having the highest scores on both measures and the lowest costs, so no trade-offs are required. Thus, the assessment task is easier than the comparisons most beneficiaries will have to perform in real life.
Table 1. Description of Outcome Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>自信于Medicare决策指数项目（Chronbach's alpha=0.82）（范围=1–4）</th>
<th>Mean (n=293)</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>我更喜欢不承担关于Medicare的决策责任。</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>当需要关于Medicare的决策时，我更喜欢由 knowledgeable的人决定。</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>我理解Medicare覆盖信息有困难。</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>每次作出关于Medicare的决策时，我担心会做出错误的决定。</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>我更可能作出错误的决策如果我有很多不同的选项可供选择。</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

使用比较信息进行Medicare决策（范围=0–2）
数量中两个问题正确回答的数（见附录B） | 1.53 | 0.75 |

健康相关行为指数（Chronbach’s alpha=0.69）（范围=1–4）

| 你寻求了解如何应对健康问题的可能性。* | 3.48 | 0.66 |
| 你携带一份问题和/或需要讨论的问题列表到医生诊所的可能性。* | 3.47 | 0.69 |
| 你阅读新的药物处方可能带来的并发症的可能性。* | 3.48 | 0.75 |
| 当我不理解时，我要求医生解释直到我理解为止。 | 3.26 | 0.62 |
| 在我去看新的医护人员之前，我尽可能多地了解他们的资格。 | 3.01 | 0.74 |

健康行为（范围=1–4）

| 我有规律地进行锻炼。 | 3.05 | 0.81 |
| 我知道我应该的健康胆固醇水平。 | 2.94 | 0.73 |
| 我通常不注意我饮食中的脂肪量。 | 2.06 | 0.86 |
| 在过去的4周里，我至少吃5份水果或蔬菜。 | 2.84 | 0.84 |

慢性疾病自我管理行为（范围=1–4）

| 我总是按照医生的建议服用降压/降胆固醇/心脏药物。 （n=191） | 3.52 | 0.58 |
| 我记录血液血压读数的日记。（n=159） | 2.64 | 0.89 |
| 我知道令我的医生想看到我有的血压。 （n=160） | 3.22 | 0.61 |
| 我做规律的锻炼来帮助管理我的关节炎。 （n=147） | 2.88 | 0.78 |
| 我有帮助管理我的关节炎疼痛的个人计划。 （n=151） | 2.79 | 0.72 |

所有项目均采用四点Likert型指数。对于大多数项目，指数为1=强烈不同意，2=不同意，3=同意，4=强烈同意。对于那些带有星号(*)的项目，范围为1=非常不可能，2=不可能，3=可能，4=非常可能。
The index of health-care-related behaviors includes five items related to how proactive patients are about working with the health care system (Chronbach’s alpha = 0.69). We used items that assess chronic illness self-management and healthy behaviors separately rather than in two summary indices, because (like other authors) we found that these tasks were not highly correlated with one another. We asked all respondents about four healthy behaviors; chronic illness self-management questions were disease-specific—two for arthritis, two for high blood pressure, and one for respondents who actually had high blood pressure, high cholesterol, or heart disease.

Analytic Strategy

The sample was first characterized with respect to demographics, health status, health literacy level, and patient activation. Bivariate associations between the independent variables (health literacy and patient activation) and the dependent measures were examined. Then, holding age and education constant, we conducted multivariate regression models for each dependent variable. The variables were entered in the multivariate models in the following order: age, education, health literacy, and patient activation. The R-square change statistic enabled us to determine how much of the variation in the dependent variables could be attributed specifically to health literacy and patient activation. Subsequent analyses examined interactions between health literacy and patient activation to investigate whether a combination of the two resulted in additional impact on the dependent variables. As no interaction terms were significant, they are not reported here.

Many authors have categorized S-TOFHLA scores into three levels: adequate, marginal, and inadequate health literacy. However, our study population is higher functioning than the general Medicare population, so fewer respondents have inadequate health literacy. Therefore, we opted to combine respondents with marginal and inadequate health literacy into one category. Because the conventional range for adequate health literacy is quite large (67–100) and a substantial percentage of our respondents scored in this range, we divided those with adequate health literacy scores into two categories: above and below 90. We found that this three-category approach—ineffective/marginal (0–66); barely adequate (67–89); adequate (90–100)—increased predictive power over models that used the S-TOFHLA score either as continuous or dichotomous with most dependent variables. For bivariate analysis using patient activation, we categorized the PAM into high (56.8+), medium (52.0–56.8), and low (0–52.0) levels based on terciles derived from a national sample of 508 adults age 65 or older. In multivariate analysis, the PAM was used in continuous form.

Principal Findings

The study participants range in age from 65 to 97 years; the average is 76 years. They are overwhelmingly women (69%); most report being in “excellent” or “very good” health (52%); and almost half have household incomes below $15,000 (table 2). Compared with a national sample of noninstitutionalized Medicare beneficiaries age 65 years and older from the Medicare Current Beneficiary Survey, study participants are older, are in better health, and have more education. Study participants’ health literacy and patient activation levels indicate a high-functioning population. Only 14 percent have inadequate or marginal health literacy scores.
While there are no S-TOFHLA rates from national samples, studies of new Medicare managed care enrollees in several cities have found rates of inadequate and marginal literacy ranging from 31 percent to 36 percent. Only 10 percent of our study population fell into the low patient activation category, compared with a third of older adults nationally.
Table 2. Characteristics of Sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Percentage (n=293)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographics</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 to 74</td>
<td>38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 to 84</td>
<td>52.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85 or more</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than high school</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school diploma</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college/vocational school</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College graduate or more</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>68.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital Status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>33.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than $15,000</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000 to $24,999</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000 to $34,999</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$35,000 or more</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self-Rated Health</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent/very good</td>
<td>52.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair/poor</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chronic Conditions</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+</td>
<td>50.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient Activation Measure (PAM)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>61.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health Literacy (S-TOFHLA)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate/marginal</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barely adequate</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>60.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In bivariate analysis, both health literacy and patient activation exhibit significant positive relationships with almost all the dependent variables (tables 3 and 4). In other words, the higher one’s level of health literacy or patient activation, the more likely one is to report confidence in Medicare decision making and engagement in health-care-related behaviors, healthy behaviors, and chronic disease self-management behaviors. Health literacy, however, appears more strongly related to Medicare decision making than patient activation is. Only health literacy is predictive of one’s ability to use comparative information to make Medicare decisions, and it appears to have a slightly stronger relationship to confidence in decision making than patient activation does (the difference in mean confidence level in those with high and low health literacy is 0.6 points; the difference for high and low patient activation is 0.4 points).

Patient activation shows a slightly stronger relationship to health-care-related, healthy, and self-management behaviors than does health literacy. The two independent variables are also found to be associated with one another. Those with adequate health literacy levels have PAM scores that average 3.5 points higher than those with barely adequate PAM scores, and 7 points higher than those with inadequate/marginal health literacy levels (table 3).
Table 3. Bivariate Relationships Between Health Literacy and Patient Activation and the Dependent Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Activation and Dependent Variables</th>
<th>Mean of Values by Health Literacy Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inadequate / Marginal (n=39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient Activation (Range = 0–100)</strong></td>
<td>57.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medicare Decision Making (Range = 1–4)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence in Medicare decision making</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using comparative information to make Medicare decisions</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health-Care-Related Behaviors (Range = 1–4)</strong></td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Healthy Behaviors (Range = 1–4)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise regularly</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Know recommended cholesterol level</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usually pay attention to fat in diet</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eat at least 5 servings of fruits or vegetables a day</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chronic Disease Self-Management Behaviors (Range = 1–4)</strong></td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take chronic illness medications as recommended</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep written diary of blood pressure readings</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Know what blood pressure doctor would like me to have</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do regular exercises to help manage my arthritis</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001. ANOVA test of differences of means among respondents with inadequate/marginal, barely adequate, and adequate health literacy levels.

*Sample sizes for chronic disease questions vary from 147 to 191 (see table 1).
### Table 4. Bivariate Relationships Between Patient Activation and the Dependent Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variables</th>
<th>Mean of Values by Patient Activation Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low (n=30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medicare Decision Making</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence in Medicare decision making</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using comparative information to make Medicare decisions</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health-Care-Related Behaviors</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Behaviors</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise regularly</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Know recommended cholesterol level</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usually pay attention to fat in diet</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eat at least 5 servings of fruits or vegetables a day</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chronic Disease Self-Management Behaviors</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take chronic illness medications as recommended</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep written diary of blood pressure readings</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Know what blood pressure doctor would like me to have</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do regular exercises to help manage my arthritis</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have personal plan that helps me manage my arthritis pain</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05, ***p<0.001. ANOVA test of differences of means among respondents with low, medium, and high patient activation.

In analyses controlling for the influence of age, education, and patient activation, health literacy retains its relationship with some, but not all, outcomes (tables 5–7). The relationships between health literacy and the two Medicare decision-making outcomes remain strong, with R-square change values of 0.068–0.086. Those with barely adequate health literacy have significantly lower levels of decision-making confidence and ability to use comparative information to make health plan choices than those with adequate health literacy, and those with marginal/inadequate health literacy have even lower levels. Health literacy remains predictive of health-care-related behaviors; however, it is associated with only two of the nine healthy and self-management behaviors in multivariate models. This reduced influence was the result of controlling for differences in age and education rather than from patient activation.
Table 5. Key Coefficients from Linear Regression Models Predicting Medicare Decision Making\textsuperscript{a}

\textit{(n=291)}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Confidence in Medicare Decision Making</th>
<th>Using Comparative Information to Make Medicare Decisions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>R^2 Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Activation Health Literacy</td>
<td>0.01***</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal/inadequate</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barely adequate</td>
<td>0.43***</td>
<td>0.43***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate (reference group)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Model Adjusted R-square</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>0.242</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}All models adjust for education and age.
Dashes indicate not applicable.

***p<.001
Table 6. Key Coefficients from Linear Regression Models Predicting Health-Care-Related and Healthy Behaviors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Health-Care-Related Behaviors (n=292)</th>
<th>Exercise Regularly (n=292)</th>
<th>Know Recommended Cholesterol Level (n=288)</th>
<th>Usually Pay Attention to Fat in Diet (n=292)</th>
<th>Eat At Least 5 Servings of Fruits or Vegetables a Day (n=292)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>$R^2$ Change</td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>$R^2$ Change</td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Activation</td>
<td>0.02 ***    0.080</td>
<td>0.02 ***</td>
<td>0.070 0.013</td>
<td>0.02** 0.044</td>
<td>0.01** 0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Literacy</td>
<td>-0.33 ***   -0.17</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.41 **</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal/adequate</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barely adequate</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate (reference group)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Model</td>
<td>0.289</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R-square</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p<.01, ***p<.001

*Models all adjust for education and age.

--Dashes indicate not applicable.
Table 7. Key Coefficients from Linear Regression Models Predicting Chronic Disease Self-Management Behaviors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Take Chronic Illness Medications as Recommended&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (n=190)</th>
<th>Keep Written Diary of Blood Pressure Readings (n=158)</th>
<th>Know What Blood Pressure Doctor Would Like Me to Have (n=159)</th>
<th>Do Regular Exercises to Help Manage My Arthritis (n=146)</th>
<th>Have Personal Plan That Helps Me Manage My Arthritis Pain (n=150)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>R² change</td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>R² change</td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Activation</td>
<td>0.02***</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.03***</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.02***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Literacy</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal/inadequate</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(reference group)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Model Adjusted R-square</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p<0.01, ***p<0.001

<sup>a</sup> All models adjust for education and age.

<sup>b</sup> Specifically, heart disease, blood pressure, or cholesterol-lowering medications.

--Dashes indicate not applicable
In contrast, controlling for health literacy, age, and education does not substantively affect the pattern of association between patient activation and the dependent variables. Patient activation is most strongly and positively associated with health-care-related behaviors (R-square change = 0.172) and taking chronic illness medications as recommended (R-square change = 0.120). It also predicts all the other healthy and self-management behaviors. Ironically, although those with high activation levels are more confident about making Medicare decisions, they are no more capable of using comparative data to make informed choices than are those with lower activation levels.

**Conclusion**

The study shows that patient activation and health literacy positively influence the skills and behaviors older adults need to effectively manage their health. We found that the two factors affect different health-related outcomes. Health literacy positively influences Medicare decision making, both one’s confidence to make decisions and one’s ability to use comparative information to effectively do so. Patient activation, on the other hand, has a greater influence on one’s engagement in health-care-related, healthy, and chronic illness self-management behaviors.

While both decision making and engaging in health-related behaviors require the ability to acquire and process information, health-related behaviors require individuals to go beyond understanding what to do. They must also believe in the impact of their actions and be committed to managing their health. It is logical, therefore, that patient activation, which includes having the knowledge, skills, beliefs, and confidence to manage one’s health, would be a strong predictor of these behaviors. Health literacy’s minimal influence on these outcomes (which include eating healthfully and taking medications as recommended) may be due to the fact that people can learn about these things from many sources, such as providers, television, and written materials, and these messages may be easier to understand than the typical documents describing Medicare options. Medicare decision making, on the other hand, is highly influenced by health literacy, probably because it requires people to derive and synthesize information from complex documents. According to the National Adult Literacy Survey, compared with other literacy-related skills, older adults have less ability than their younger counterparts to interpret written information that requires these skills.4

Understanding the relative contributions of health literacy and patient activation to the behaviors and skills older adults need to manage their health is critical to designing targeted and effective interventions. For example, policymakers will have to address the issue of low health literacy if older adults are to make informed choices about the Medicare prescription drug benefit. Improving health literacy levels among older adults may be difficult, because deficits in health literacy are likely due in part to cognitive decline.19 A more pragmatic approach is to focus on effective ways to communicate complex health information to those with poor reading skills and health literacy, and provide more intensive assistance to those with the greatest need.

The manner in which information is presented can have a large impact on how useful it is and the quality of the decisions based on it.20, 21 For example, the use of visual cues and other methods to summarize and interpret information, to make it more “evaluable,” has been shown to help those
with lower skills. \(^2^2\) Use of videotapes and easy-to-read brochures has also been found to improve comprehension for those with poor reading skills. \(^1^5\) Because those with lower skills are no more likely to seek help for Medicare decision making than those with higher skills, \(^2^3\) a recently developed simple health literacy screening tool consisting of age, education, and self-reported health may provide an easy and effective way to identify those most in need of assistance. \(^2^4\)

To improve health-related behaviors, patient activation rather than health literacy should be targeted. While programs to improve patient activation are in their infancy, there is reason to believe such programs can be effective. For example, layperson-led self-management training programs that build skills, share experiences, and provide support have been found to improve patient self-efficacy and reduce hospital utilization. \(^2\) There is also emerging evidence that health care providers can play a role in increasing patient confidence in managing their health conditions. \(^2^5\) More research is needed to develop and test interventions aimed at improving patient activation and, thus, health-related behaviors.

Work is also needed to refine methods for measuring health literacy. Most studies have treated health literacy as having an impact at specific threshold levels rather than a linear influence. While this study confirms that health literacy is more predictive when modeled using threshold levels, we found that those at the low end of what has generally been considered adequate health literacy (which we have labeled “barely adequate”) have significantly less confidence in decision making and ability to use comparative information for decision making than do those at the higher end. For example, only 51 percent of those with barely adequate health literacy were able to correctly select the top two health plans from comparative data, while 80 percent of those with scores of 90 or above on the S-TOFHLA were able to do so. It may be the difficulty of decision making that separates those at the high and low ends of what has conventionally been considered adequate health literacy. Testing threshold levels should be explored in future studies.

Several limitations to this study should be noted. First, the convenience sample in the study is significantly higher functioning than the general Medicare population. While this raises the question of generalizability of the findings, the study does not focus on absolute levels of any measure, and our findings are similar to those found in studies that have examined the effects of health literacy and patient activation separately. Although the sample is higher skilled than the national population, one-third were unable to choose the two best and cheapest health plans in a basic comparative task. This finding underscores the difficulty older adults have in using comparative data to make decisions. Second, the outcome measures in this study are all based on self-report and, therefore, subject to the usual caveats, including social desirability bias. Finally, the study’s cross-sectional design does not allow us to make causal inferences. Studies that follow participants over time should be conducted to test whether the relationships observed in this study are causal.

Despite these limitations, this study is the first, to our knowledge, to examine the relative contributions of two factors important to consumers’ ability to effectively manage their health. The study finds that patient activation and health literacy are both important factors for older adults, although they influence different outcomes. Health literacy concerns must be addressed for older adults to make sound Medicare decisions; while interventions that focus on improving patient activation will encourage better health-care-related, healthy, and self-management behaviors. Much work remains to be done to develop and test effective interventions for improving both health literacy and patient activation, and this study underscores the importance of such future efforts.
Appendix A. Patient Activation Measure
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(This instrument may not be used without written permission from the University of Oregon.)

I will read some statements that people sometimes make when they talk about their health. Please tell me how much you agree or disagree with each statement as it applies to you personally. If the statement does not apply to you, just let me know and we will move on to the next one.

Q1. Taking an active role in my own health care is the most important factor in determining my health and ability to function.
1. Disagree strongly
2. Disagree
3. Agree
4. Agree strongly
5. Not applicable

Q2. I know how to prevent further problems with my health condition.
1. Disagree strongly
2. Disagree
3. Agree
4. Agree strongly
5. Not applicable

Q3. I know what each of my prescribed medications does.
1. Disagree strongly
2. Disagree
3. Agree
4. Agree strongly
5. Not applicable

Q4. I understand the nature and causes of my health condition(s).
1. Disagree strongly
2. Disagree
3. Agree
4. Agree strongly
5. Not applicable

Q5. I am confident that I can follow through on medical treatments I need to do at home.
1. Disagree strongly
2. Disagree
3. Agree
4. Agree strongly
5. Not applicable
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Q6. I am confident that I can take actions that will help prevent or minimize some symptoms or problems associated with my health condition.
1 Disagree strongly
2 Disagree
3 Agree
4 Agree strongly
5 Not applicable

Q7. I am confident that I can follow through on medical recommendations my health care provider makes, such as changing my diet or doing regular exercise.
1 Disagree strongly
2 Disagree
3 Agree
4 Agree strongly
5 Not applicable

Q8. I am able to handle symptoms of my health condition on my own at home.
1 Disagree strongly
2 Disagree
3 Agree
4 Agree strongly
5 Not applicable

Q9. I have made the changes in my lifestyle, like diet and exercise, that are recommended for my health condition.
1 Disagree strongly
2 Disagree
3 Agree
4 Agree strongly
5 Not applicable

Q10. I have been able to maintain the lifestyle changes for my health that I have made.
1 Disagree strongly
2 Disagree
3 Agree
4 Agree strongly
5 Not applicable

Q11. I am confident that I can figure out solutions when new situations or problems arise with my health condition.
1 Disagree strongly
2 Disagree
3 Agree
4 Agree strongly
5 Not applicable
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Q12. Maintaining the lifestyle changes that are recommended for my health condition is too hard to do on a daily basis.
1 Disagree strongly
2 Disagree
3 Agree
4 Agree strongly
5 Not applicable

Q13. I know the lifestyle changes, like diet and exercise, that are recommended for my health condition.
1 Disagree strongly
2 Disagree
3 Agree
4 Agree strongly
5 Not applicable

Q14. I am confident that I can tell my health care provider concerns I have, even when he or she does not ask.
1 Disagree strongly
2 Disagree
3 Agree
4 Agree strongly
5 Not applicable

Q15. I know about the self-treatments for my health condition.
1 Disagree strongly
2 Disagree
3 Agree
4 Agree strongly
5 Not applicable

Q16. I am able to handle problems of my health condition on my own at home.
1 Disagree strongly
2 Disagree
3 Agree
4 Agree strongly
5 Not applicable

Q17. I know the different medical treatment options available for my health condition.
1 Disagree strongly
2 Disagree
3 Agree
4 Agree strongly
5 Not applicable
Q18. I am confident that I can find trustworthy sources of information about my health condition and my health choices.
1 Disagree strongly
2 Disagree
3 Agree
4 Agree strongly
5 Not applicable

Q19. I am confident that I can tell when I need to go get medical care and when I can handle a health problem myself.
1 Disagree strongly
2 Disagree
3 Agree
4 Agree strongly
5 Not applicable

Q20. I am confident that I can maintain lifestyle changes, like diet and exercise, even during times of stress.
1 Disagree strongly
2 Disagree
3 Agree
4 Agree strongly
5 Not applicable

Q21. I am confident that I can keep my health problems from interfering with the things I want to do.
1 Disagree strongly
2 Disagree
3 Agree
4 Agree strongly
5 Not applicable

Q22. When all is said and done, I am the person who is responsible for managing my health condition.
1 Disagree strongly
2 Disagree
3 Agree
4 Agree strongly
5 Not applicable

A slightly different version of each item is asked of respondents who do not have any chronic conditions.
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Appendix B. Using Comparative Information to Make Medicare Decisions

Below is the information about how five plans differ (in terms of member ratings and costs). Use the information in this table to pick the health plans you are most likely to enroll in.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member ratings of how well doctors in the plan communicate</th>
<th>Overall member ratings of the plan</th>
<th>Premium cost per month</th>
<th>Co-pay per office visit</th>
<th>Co-pay per prescription</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan D</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan E</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan F</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan G</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Please indicate the health plan you’d be most likely to enroll in below. Put an “X” in the box next to your first choice – the plan you are most likely to pick.

   - [ ] Plan C
   - [ ] Plan D
   - [ ] Plan E
   - [ ] Plan F
   - [ ] Plan G

2. Please indicate your second choice. Put an “X” in the box next to your second choice.

   - [ ] Plan C
   - [ ] Plan D
   - [ ] Plan E
   - [ ] Plan F
   - [ ] Plan G
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